Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences
Nibelungenplatz 1
60318 Frankfurt

EvaS Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences

Dear Ms Daniela Wenzl (as private and confidential)

Report course evaluation

Dear Wenzl,

this report contains the results of the course evaluation for TRAINING UOM to questionnaire type "INTEC_training_trainees":

In the first part of the analysis report the values of all individual questions are listed. Then you can find the individual average values of the scales specified in a line.

In the last part are the answers to the open-ended questions.

Please let us know if you have questions or suggestions for improvement for the evaluation (evas@fra-uas.de)

Yours EvaS Team
Frankfurt UAS

Daniela Wenzl

TRAINING UOM ()
No. of responses = 6

FRANKFURT UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Logistics aspects

1.1) I received general information about the INTEC project.



n=6
av.=1,3
dev.=0,5

1.2) I received information related to the training content in time.



n=6
av.=1
dev.=0

1.3) I received information to access the online materials in time.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

1.4) I received general information related to the venue, travel and accommodation in time.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

1.5) Workshop room facilities were appropriate.



n=6
av.=1
dev.=0

2. General aspects of the training

2.1) Input methods (e.g. frontal, hands-on, blended learning) implemented by the instructor(s) were adequate.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

2.2) Supporting materials were available during the training.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

2.3) Time management was appropriate.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

2.4) All points of the training agenda were addressed.



n=6
av.=1
dev.=0

2.5) The structure of the training content was logical.



n=5
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

2.6) The training instructor(s) was/were attentive to the needs of participants.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

2.7) Content was conveyed in an understandable manner.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

2.8) There were opportunities to participate actively and ask questions.



n=6
av.=1
dev.=0

2.9) The training met my expectations.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

2.10) In general, the content was relevant.



n=6
av.=1,2
dev.=0,4

3. Learning growth

The topic of the training is interesting to me.

3.1) This is my assessment after the workshop.



n=6
av.=1,7
dev.=0,8

3.2) This is my assessment before the workshop.



n=6
av.=2,7
dev.=1,2

I know a lot about the topic of the training.

3.3) This is my assessment after the workshop.



n=6
av.=1,3
dev.=0,5

3.4) This is my assessment before the workshop.



n=6
av.=3
dev.=0,6

I can apply the content of the training.

3.5) This is my assessment after the workshop.



n=6
av.=1,5
dev.=0,8

3.6) This is my assessment before the workshop.



n=6
av.=2,8
dev.=0,8

Profile

Subunit: INTEC FH Joanneum Graz
Name of the instructor: Daniela Wenzl
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) TRAINING UOM

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Logistics aspects



1.1)	I received general information about the INTEC project.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,5
1.2)	I received information related to the training content in time.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1	md=1	dev.=0
1.3)	I received information to access the online materials in time.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
1.4)	I received general information related to the venue, travel and accommodation in time. (*)	strongly agree	strongly disagree				
1.5)	Workshop room facilities were appropriate.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1	md=1	dev.=0

2. General aspects of the training



2.1)	Input methods (e.g. frontal, hands-on, blended learning) implemented by the instructor(s) were adequate.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
2.2)	Supporting materials were available during the training.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
2.3)	Time management was appropriate.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
2.4)	All points of the training agenda were addressed.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1	md=1	dev.=0
2.5)	The structure of the training content was logical.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=5	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
2.6)	The training instructor(s) was/were attentive to the needs of participants.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
2.7)	Content was conveyed in an understandable manner.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
2.8)	There were opportunities to participate actively and ask questions.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1	md=1	dev.=0
2.9)	The training met my expectations.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4
2.10)	In general, the content was relevant.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4

3. Learning growth



3.1)	**The topic of the training is interesting to me.** - This is my assessment after the workshop.	very high	very low	n=6	av.=1,7	md=1,5	dev.=0,8
3.2)	**The topic of the training is interesting to me.** - This is my assessment before the workshop.	very high	very low	n=6	av.=2,7	md=2,5	dev.=1,2
3.3)	**I know a lot about the topic of the training.** - This is my assessment after the workshop.	very high	very low	n=6	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,5
3.4)	**I know a lot about the topic of the training.** - This is my assessment before the workshop.	very high	very low	n=6	av.=3	md=3	dev.=0,6
3.5)	**I can apply the content of the training.** - This is my assessment after the workshop.	very high	very low	n=6	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,8

3.6) **I can apply the content of the training.** - This is my assessment before the workshop.

very high very low

n=6 av.=2,8 md=3 dev.=0,8

(*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

Outcome-based evaluation



Data presentation adapted from Raupach et al. Med Teach 2011; 33: e446-ee453.
Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean.

Comments Report

3. Learning growth

3.7) Please, indicate the 3 aspects of the training most useful to you.

- Environmental awareness, improvement of traffic conditions and improving the awareness of traffic participants.
- Interactive approach and practical exercises
  Various topics
  Open discussions
- road safety, sustainable transport, automotive industry, distance learning
- SMOdle practic cours
  Electric vehicles
  Smart cities
- SMoodle practise course
  Elektrical vehicle and alternative fuels
  Sustainable development goals

3.8) Please, indicate any suggestion to improve this training.

- I am satisfied with the project.
- More practical exercises.
- More practical work and field trips.
- More practise
- The training was exceptionally well-organized and informative. As an idea for further improvement, it might be beneficial to introduce more group workshops or team tasks, allowing participants to exchange ideas and experiences more extensively. This would further strengthen the practical application of the knowledge gained and encourage collaboration among participants.

3.9) General comments?

- I want more training like this to be organized in the future
- Organized well.
- Overall, it was an excellent experience that exceeded expectations and provided a strong foundation for further development.
- The course was very interesting.