

Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences

Nibelungenplatz 1 60318 Frankfurt

EvaS Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences

Dear Ms Daniela Wenzl (as private and confidential)

Report course evaluation

Dear Wenzl,

this report contains the results of the course evaluation for TRAINING 3 10.06. - 12.06. to questionnaire type "INTEC_training_trainees":

In the first part of the analysis report the values of all individual questions are listed. Then you can find the individual average values of the scales specified in a line.

In the last part are the answers to the open-ended questions.

Please let us know if you have questions or suggestions for improvement for the evaluation (evas@fra-uas.de)


Yours EvaS Team Frankfurt UAS


Daniela Wenzl

TRAINING 3 10.06. - 12.06. () No. of responses = 18




Survey Results





^{3.6)} This is my assessment <u>before</u> the workshop.



n=17 av.=2,8 dev.=1,7

Profile

Subunit:

INTEC FH Joanneum Graz

Name of the instructor:


Daniela Wenzl

Name of the course (Name of the survey) TRAINING 3 10.06. - 12.06.

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Logistics aspects

- I received general information about the INTEC
- 1.2) I received information related to the training content in time.
- I received information to access the online
- I received general information related to the venue, travel and accommodation in time.
- 1.5) Workshop room facilities were appropriate.



n=18	av.=1,1	md=1	dev.=0,3
n=18	av.=1,1	md=1	dev.=0,2
n=18	av.=1,1	md=1	dev.=0,2
n=18	av.=1,1	md=1	dev.=0,2

md=1

dev.=0,7

dev = 0

dev = 0

dev.=0

dev =0

dev.=0

dev.=0

dev.=0

dev.=0,3

dev.=0,5

av.=1,3

n=18


2. General aspects of the training

- Input methods (e.g. frontal, hands-on, blended learning) implemented by the instructor(s) were adequate.
- Supporting materials were available during the
- Time management was appropriate.
- All points of the training agenda were addressed
- The structure of the training content was
- The training instructor(s) was/were attentive to the needs of participants.
- Content was conveyed in an understandable
- There were opportunities to participate actively and ask questions. 2.8)
- The training met my expectations
- ^{2.10)} In general, the content was relevant.



Learning growth

- The topic of the training is interesting to me. This is my assessment after the workshop.
- The topic of the training is interesting to me. This is my assessment before the workshop.
- I know a lot about the topic of the training. This is my assessment <u>after</u> the workshop.
- I know a lot about the topic of the training. This is my assessment <u>before</u> the workshop.
- I can apply the content of the training. This is my assessment after the workshop




		n=18	av.=2,4	md=2	dev.=1,3
+	very low	n=18	av.=1,4	md=1	dev.=0,6
	very low	n=18	av.=2,8	md=3	dev.=1,5
	very low	n=18	av.=1,5	md=1	dev.=0,7

av.=1,2

3.6) I can apply the content of the training. - This is my assessment <u>before</u> the workshop.

very high very low n=17 av.=2,8 md=3 dev.=1,7

Outcome-based evaluation



Data presentation adapted from Raupach et al. Med Teach 2011; 33: e446-ee453.

Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean.

Comments Report

3. Learning growth

- ^{3.7)} Please, indicate the 3 aspects of the training most useful to you.
- 1- Good information from project
 - 2- New teoretical information
 - 3- Useful training and very interactive from the trainers
- 1. I got a very good understanding of how Professor Martin structures his lessons and I want to do the same in my teaching.

 - I got a lot of information on leadership.
 Very good information on Communication.
- All topics covered in the training are very important for our work.
- Communication Team group Leadership
- Critical thinking, project based learning, personal leadership
- Good information New informations for me This training will help me in after this
- Practical examples Teaching methodology Learning materials
- problem based learning team group
- Project based learning Communication Moodle
- Skills Communication skills Language body
- Teams group Training
- The leadership part was really interesting The DISC feedback The hands on activities
- Please, indicate any suggestion to improve this training.
- All good
- Maybe 5 minutes break every 30 minutes can be a better way to concetrate.
- No
- None
- No specific suggestions
- No suggestions
- Organise in hybrid mode
- The training was very good
- to do more trainin like this
- Very good
- Without additional suggestions, coaches did the job decisively.

- 3.9) General comments?
- All good
- Amazing session, great and fun lecturers
- Every thing was like in agenda. Perfect
- N/a
- N/A
- No
- Perfect
- Thanks to the teachers for their positive attitude and energy. I am talking about all the Training Camps, 1, 2 and the current Training 3.
- The training even though intensive was fun to do. The trainers were formidable professionals.
- Very good
- Very interesting and useful training.